## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Appeal No. 79/2014 & M.A. No. 694/2014

## Debadityo Sinha & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.

## CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER


Present: Applicant /Appellant Respondent No. 1 Respondent No. 2 Respondent No. 3

Date

:Ms. Parul Gupta, Adv.
:Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Adv.
:Ms. Savitri Pandey, Ms. Azma Parveen, Advs.
:Mr. Pradeep Misra, Mr. Daleep Kr. Dhaayani, Advs.
:Mr. Vijay K. Sondhi, Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Mr. Wasim Beg, Mr. Varun Shankar, Mr. Anshul Sehgal, Ms. Alpana Podda, Advs.
Orders of the Tribunal

**Respondent No. 4** 

and



Heard. Perused.

Learned Counsel appearing for MoEF seeks time in order to go through bulky sur-rejoinder and make submissions. Learned Counsel appearing for the applicant has no objection for grant of time. However, she submits that work of construction is going on at the site and some protection needs to be granted. This submission is countered on behalf of the learned Counsel appearing for the Project Proponent. She submits that the environmental clearance was granted after due process of law and work of construction of boundary wall has been undertaken upon grant of consent to establish granted by the State Pollution Control Board. Actions would be ultimately subject to final outcome of this petition.

List this matter on 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2015.

....,JM (U.D. Salvi)

....,EM (Dr. D.K. Agrawal)